

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/378,261	08/20/99	FUKUDOME		K	OMRE	.152-DIV
Γ			7	EXAMINER		
HM12/0328 'PATREA L PABST ESQ KILPATRICK & ODY				GUCKER,S		
				ART	INIT	PAPER NUMBER
1100 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA GA 30309-4530				1647		4
				DATE MAILED: 03/28/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/378,261	FUKUDOME ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stephen Gucker	1647				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
Period fo	• •	V IS SET TO EVOIDE 4 MONTH/	S) EDOM				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 I	November 1999 .					
2a)□	· · · · _ ·	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	' -						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 16-18,22 and 23 is/are pending in the	e application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claims 16-18, 22 and 23 are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirement					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	.					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		tion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receiv					
* (application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
14)							
' ⁻ /LJ			· ,				
Attachmei	nt(s)						
15) 🔲 No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· ==	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· =	al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 16 and 17, drawn to a method of enhancing an inflammatory response,
 class and subclass dependent on structure of compound used.
- II. Claim 18, drawn to a method of inhibiting an inflammatory response using EPCR fragments, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.
- III. Claim 22, drawn to a method of screening compounds, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- IV. Claim 23, drawn to a method of screening patients, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, III, and IV are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods are practiced with materially different process steps for materially different purposes and each method requires a non-coextensive search because of different starting materials, process steps, and goals.

Art Unit: 1647

Species Election

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Inventive Group I. If applicant selects Inventive Group I (above), one species from Group A) (below), must be selected to be considered responsive:

A) Compound:

- a) antibodies or fragments of antibodies,
- b) nucleic acids, or
- c) compounds other than proteins, peptides, or nucleic acid sequences.

The species named above are independent and distinct, each from the other, because they have different structures, or different putative functions, and require completely different search terms, starting points and search strategies.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 16 is found to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the grounds that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined as well as an election of the species even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Gucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-6571. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern Time).

Art Unit: 1647

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary

Kunz, can be reached at (703) 308-4623.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Any inquiry of a

general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the

Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SLW

3/26/01

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 5